City of Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.: 6.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: JANUARY 15, 2008

| RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF. JANUARY 13, 2006 | | |
|---|---|---|
| DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR: | E: CITY ATTORNEY BRADFORD R. JERBIC | ☐Consent ☐ Discussion |
| SUBJECT: NEW BILL: | | |
| | – Implements Section 4.040 of the City Commissioners. Sponsored by: Councilman | * * |
| Fiscal Impact No Imp | eact Augmentatio | on Required |
| | Funds Available LAS (ce: | Tr. |
| appoint hearing c provision by estal commissioners, w | Session, the Nevada Legislature amended ommissioners to hear certain kinds of mat blishing the framework for the recruitment who will be assigned to hear traffic matters and the approval of the related funding versions. | tters. This bill will implement the new at and appointment of one or more s. Items regarding the appointment of |
| | ATION: The submitted to a Recommending Committee to the City Council for final action. | tee for review, hearing and |
| BACKUP DOCU 1. Bill No. 2007- 2. Business Impa | 77 | |
| Motion made by | STEVE WOLFSON to Approve as Do Pa | .55 |

Minutes:

None); (Excused-None)

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED explained that at the last session of the Legislature, the City requested several amendments to the City Charter, one being the appointment of hearing commissioners by the City Council to hear traffic-related matters. This bill establishes the recruitment framework but does not address funding or who will be selected.

LOIS TARKANIAN, STEVE WOLFSON; (Against-None); (Abstain-None); (Did Not Vote-

Passed For: 2; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

Agenda Item No.: 6.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: JANUARY 15, 2008

In response to COUNCILMAN WOLFSON'S query, JAMES CARMANY, Administrator of the Municipal Court, explained that three items will be presented at the City Council, two of which will address funding. A recent analysis indicates that the additional revenue generated from fines and fees will offset the costs and add to the General Fund considerably. Based on the current caseload, one commissioner would be sufficient. However, should that caseload increase significantly, consideration would be given to adding more commissioners.

